UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,591	09/13/2006	Dong-seok Kim	29137.096.00	1374
30827 7590 06/21/2010 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
		_		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/550,591	KIM ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-17 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific and sheet an	wwn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. ccepted or b) □ objected to by the leading of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/18/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al (US 2002/0055610) herein Okada in view of Maeda et al (US 6664021) herein Maeda (both cited in the previous Office Action) as evidences by Berrada, M. et al., "Novel Negative-Type Soluble Photosensitive Polyimides: Synthesis and Characterization", Chem., vol. 8, No. 5 (1996), pp. 1029-1034., herein Berrada

Okada discloses a reactive transparent polyimide precursor and polyimide comprising a reaction product of alicyclic tetracarboxylic acid dianhydride (1,2,3,4

cyclobutanetetracarboxylic acid dianhydride, ((see line 0139), which is the same compound used in the Application) and the diamine, having ethylenically unsaturated side chain (the same diaminophenyl cinnamate used in the application, see line 0150).

In reference to Claim 3, Okada teaches that Molecular Weight of the polyamide precursor is within the range of 5000-1000000 (see line 0136).

Note that Okada does not teach Negative-Type Soluble Photosensitive Polyimides.

As evidences by Berrada, Negative-Type Soluble Photosensitive Polyimides, having cinnamoyl, acryloyl or methacryloyl fragments in the polyimide precursor (see page 1031) need much lower temperature and shorter time of heat treatment compare to conventional materials, resulting in a low shrinkage of the film thickness.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use Okada's polyimide as Negative-Type Soluble Photosensitive material, since it requires much lower temperature and shorter time of heat treatment compare to conventional materials, resulting in a low shrinkage of the film thickness.

Okada does not teach partially esterified polyamic acid.

Maeda teaches negative polyimide precursor composition (see Abstract, Column 1, line e15, having following formulas:

Art Unit: 1796

(each R³ is independently a tetravalent carbocyclic aromatic group, a heterocyclic group, an alicyclic group or an aliphatic group; each R⁵ is independently a divalent aliphatic group having 2 or more carbon atoms, an alicyclic group, an aromatic aliphatic group, a carbon cyclic aromatic group, a heterocyclic group or a polysiloxane group; and R⁵ is an alkyl group having 1 to 7 carbon atoms).

Thus, esterified and neat polyamic acid can be used interchangeably. In addition, partial esterification of polyamic acid makes material more hydrophobic, increasing its solubility in organic solvents.

The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a *prima facie* obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945), 325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301, see also *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960), *Ryco, Inc. v. Ag-Bag Corp.*, 857 F.2d 1418, 8 USPQ2d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and MPEP 2144.07.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use partially esterified polyamic acid, since it is a known material based on its suitability for its intended use.

Regarding acid values within the range of 30-200 mg/KOH, the position is taken that since Okada's precursor, modified with Maeda, has the same structure as one of the Application examined, all their physical properties are expected to be identical.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,591 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GL /GREGORY LISTVOYB/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796